Note

On the Degree of Approximation by Bernstein Polynomials

The aim of this note is to show that the novel approach taken in [2] to introduce the Bernstein polynomials can be used to study the degree of approximation of differentiable functions by these polynomials. The idea of [2] is to approximate a smooth function f defined on [0, 1] by approximating on a certain closed region the function $U(x, y) \equiv f(x + y)$ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}, \qquad U(x,0) = f(x).$$

For each psotive integer N and each $t \in (0, 1]$, an approximate solution $u(ih, nk) \equiv u_{i,n}$ is constructed on the grid points (ih, nk) of the set $\{(x, y): 0 \le x, 0 \le y \le t, x + y \le 1\}$ by use of the forward difference scheme

$$u_{i,n+1} = (1-t)u_{i,n} + tu_{i+1,n}, \qquad u_{i,0} = f(i/N),$$
 (1)

where h = 1/N and k = th. It is then easy to see that the Nth order Bernstein polynomial of f at t is given by

$$B_N(f;t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} {N \choose i} (1-t)^{N-i} t^i f(i/N) = u_{0,N}.$$

THEOREM. If a real function f is continuous on [0, 1] and has a bounded derivative on (0, 1), then for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and for N = 1, 2, ...,

$$|B_N(f;t)-f(t)| \leq t\omega(f';1/N),$$

where $\omega(f'; \cdot)$ is the modulus of continuity of f' on (0, 1).

Proof. For
$$0 \le n \le N$$
 and $0 \le i \le N - n$ we set

$$T_{i,n} = U(ih, (n+1)k) - U(ih, nk) - t[U((i+1)h, nk) - U(ih, nk)].$$
(2)

By use of the mean value theorem and the fact that $U(x, y) \equiv f(x + y)$ we have

$$T_{i,n} = kf'(\theta_1) - thf'(\theta_2),$$

318 NOTE

where θ_1 , $\theta_2 \in (ih + nk, (i + 1)h + nk)$. Therefore

$$\mid T_{i,n} \mid \leqslant t\omega(f'; 1/N)/N. \tag{3}$$

If $T_N = \max\{|T_{i,n}|: 0 \le n \le N, 0 \le i \le N-n\}$, then by use of (1) and (2) it follows easily as in [2] that

$$|f(t) - B_N(f;t)| = |U(0,Nk) - u_{0,N}| \leq NT_N.$$

The desired result then follows from (3).

Lorentz [3, p. 21] obtained the estimate¹

$$|f(t) - B_N(f;t)| \leq \frac{3}{4}\omega(f';1/\sqrt{N})/\sqrt{N}$$
 $(N = 1, 2,...).$ (4)

Since $\omega(f'; 1/\sqrt{N}) \leq (1 + \sqrt{N}) \omega(f'; 1/N)$, it follows that

$$\overline{\lim}_{N\to\infty}\frac{\omega(f';1/\sqrt{N})/\sqrt{N}}{\omega(f';1/N)}\leqslant 1$$

and hence for a given $t \in (0, 1]$ Lorentz's estimate is essentially at least as good as ours. In fact, if $f(t) \equiv t^{\alpha+1}$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$, then one can see that Lorentz's result is strictly better. However, if f' satisfies a Lipshitz condition of order one on (0, 1), then for each $t \in [0, 1]$ both estimates are O(1/N) which cannot be improved to o(1/N) unless f is linear in view of a theorem of Bajšanski and Bojanić [1].

REFERENCES

- B. BAJŠANSKI AND R. BOJANIĆ, A note on approximation by Bernstein polynomials, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1964), 675-677.
- C. W. Groetsch and J. T. King, The Bernstein polynomials and finite differences, Math. Mag. 46 (1973), 280-282.
- 3. G. G. LORENTZ, "Bernstein Polynomials," University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.

C. W. GROETSCH
O. SHISHA

Department of Mathematics University of Rhode Island Kingston, Rhode Island 02881

¹ Lorentz works in a slightly different setting, but his proof yields (4) in our setting.